

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

267

COCN No. 2370 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 03, 2017

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Dharam Indu Gupta and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioner.
Mr. Bhupeshwar Jaswal, Advocate for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents submit that order dated 06.04.2017 passed in petition No. 78 of 2016 has been complied with, and speaking order dated 18.07.2017 has been passed, which is annexed as Annexure R-1 with the reply to the contempt petition filed today in the Court. The same is taken on record.

Accordingly, nothing survives in the contempt petition. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Disposed of accordingly.

November 03, 2017

jyoti-3

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*