

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No. 2280 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:18.08.2017

Randhir Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Girish Arora

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. RS Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present contempt petition has been filed for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent and to punish him for not complying with order dated 3.2.2017 passed by this Court in C.W.P. No. 1294 of 2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in pursuance of order dated 3.2.2017 passed by this Court in C.W.P. No. 1294 of 2017, the claim of the petitioners was considered and a speaking order dated 9.5.2017 was passed, whereby, directions were issued to complete the work till 30.6.2017. Learned counsel further contends that the work has not been completed within the specified time as mentioned in letter dated 9.5.2017.

Heard the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners and have also gone through the orders dated 3.2.2017 as well as 9.5.2017 and other documents available on the file.

Since vide order dated 3.2.2017, only a direction was issued to respondent No.3 to consider representation dated 16.12.2016, annexed as

Annexure P-4 with the petition, and to pass speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and speaking order has been passed on 9.5.2017, wherein, it has been mentioned that the work is to be completed by 30.6.2017, no contempt lies as the order passed by this Court has not been violated. However, it was for the respondent-authorities to complete the work within the time schedule as mentioned in order dated 9.5.2017.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have served a legal notice upon the respondents on 18.7.2017 but still no action has been taken thereupon.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the legal notice of the petitioners dated 18.7.2017 and implement the time schedule as mentioned in order dated 9.5.2017 by taking necessary action preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

August 18, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No