

**212-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCF No.2272 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : October 03, 2017

Balwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Satveer Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Randeep Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the order dated 06.01.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by this Court in CRM-M No.39815 of 2013, titled as "Balwinder Singh v State of Punjab and others" to the effect that the petitioner or any of his family members shall not be summoned to the police station without prior permission of this Court, has been violated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the compromise dated 12.06.2016 (Annexure P-5) which took place between the private parties. It does not show that the petitioner was summoned to the police station. There is no other document on file to show that the petitioner was ever summoned to the police station. Therefore, the order dated 06.01.2015 (Annexure P-2) was not violated. In the main petition i.e. CRM-M No.39815 of 2013, necessary direction has already been issued to the police machinery.

As such, the present revision petition stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 03, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No