

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.693 of 2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.04.2017**

Mehar Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

Darshani Devi and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner along with other proprietors of the Village is stated to have filed CWP No.6163 of 1992, wherein challenge was laid to the Notification issued by the Government, vesting the ownership rights qua the lands recorded as “*Jumla Mushtarka Malkan*” in the revenue record, in the State.

It is claimed that in the pending decision before the Full Bench of this Court, an interim order dated 20.08.1999 was passed, whereby it was ordered that the petitioner(s) shall not be dispossessed from the land in dispute i.e. under their possession.

In the present contempt petition, it is alleged that auction for the land in possession of the petitioner(s) was conducted by the authorities and, therefore, they have violated the aforesaid interim order dated 20.08.1999.

At the time of hearing, learned Counsel for the petitioner concedes that the present contempt petition has become infructuous in view of the fact that the referred decision of the Hon'ble Full Bench itself having

been set aside by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the matter stood remanded back for fresh adjudication before this Court. Subsequently, another Full Bench in case titled as '**Jai Singh Versus State of Haryana**', reported as **2003 (2) RCR (Civil) 578** has decided the issue afresh and, therefore, nothing survives in the present contempt petition.

In view of the statement made by learned Counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is dismissed as infructuous.

April 24, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>