

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.2148 of 2016

Date of decision : 15.09.2017

Devinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dipinder Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel states that order passed in favour of the petitioner has been complied with, whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is not only difference in calculation of amount but certain objections have also been raised. The claim of the petitioner has not correctly been assessed on the ground that some work remains to be completed.

Since there is dispute with regard to calculation of the amount, the petitioner is at liberty to move representation to the respondent-authority mentioning therein as to how much amount has been paid and how much remains to be unpaid to the respondents, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order. In case such

representation is moved, the respondent-authority is directed to consider the same and pass necessary order in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter.

15.09.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No