

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 2098 of 2016
Date of Decision:- 09.02.2017**

Harke Ram

....Petitioner

vs.

Sh. Vinay Singh, IAS, DC, Jind

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Satish Kumar Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 21.10.2015 passed by this Court in CWP No. 22748 of 2015 wherein respondent was directed to take appropriate action in accordance with law on the representation filed by the petitioner within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

When the order was not complied with within the stipulated period, the present contempt petition has been filed.

In response to notice of motion, reply has been filed, which is on record.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of reply, submits that action has been taken and even the recovery has also been effected. More time was spent because of the procedural formalities and enquiry proceedings were also in progress. It is also mentioned in the affidavit filed by the

respondents that delay caused in compliance of the order is not intentional and the deponent has also tendered unconditional apology which is accepted.

Since the compliance of the order has been made as action has been taken against former Sarpanch and recovery has also been effected, no action is required to be taken now. However, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy available to him under the law.

Contempt petition stands disposed of.

February 09, 2017
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No