

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.2093 of 2016
Date of Decision: 03.05.2017**

Subhash and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Sh. J. Ganesan (IAS), The Chief Administrator,
Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ajit Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Mahajan, Advocate for the respondents.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioners had filed CWP No.3363 of 2016 for quashing the order dated 30.10.2015, whereby their application for allotment of a plot under the oustees quota was rejected. The said petition was disposed of vide order dated 19.02.2016 (**Annexure P-1**) and the impugned order dated 30.10.2015 has been quashed/set aside. It was also ordered that the respondents shall pass a fresh order, giving reasons after affording the petitioners an opportunity of personal hearing. It was also ordered that in the event of the petitioners being entitled to the allotment, the respondents would also pass the consequential orders. Since the needful was not done, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply has been filed, wherein it is submitted that in compliance of the directions passed by this Court, petitioners had been called upon to appear but they had not appeared before the authority concerned. Thereafter, fresh speaking order had been passed on 24.10.2016 (**Annexure R-2**), rejecting the claim of the petitioners.

At the time of hearing, learned Counsel for the respondents submits that the aforesaid directions have already been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned opposite Counsel.

In view of the above, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

May 03, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>