

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCp-2078-2016

Date of Decision: May 24, 2017

Neeta Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

S.C. Chandra & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (Oral):

Compliance report by way of short affidavit of the Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation, Division-Sangrur-respondent No.3, has been filed in Court, which is taken on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the retiral benefits have been received by the petitioner. He, however, prays for liberty to challenge the order dated 07.02.2017 (Annexure R-2), whereby, the petitioner has been offered a Class-IV post, whereas, she is entitled to appointment to the post of Class-III.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for by the counsel for the petitioner.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

May 24, 2017
Harish

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**