

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2061-2016 (O & M)
Date of decision: 27.07.2017**

Amar Nath

....Petitioner

V/s

Narinder Kumar Solanki and anr.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Deepak Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated December 17, 2015 passed by the writ court. Operative part thereof reads as under:-

“Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and issuing notice to the respondents at this stage, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 and 3, to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as mentioned in legal notice (Annexure P-6), and redress the same in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the decision shall be communicated to the petitioner.”

Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that a speaking order dated March 02, 2017, Annexure A-10 to affidavit dated March 06, 2017 has been passed.

In view of the above, as the issue has been examined by the concerned authority, no cause of action survives in this petition. Disposed of.

Petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to avail any efficacious alternative remedy.

July 27, 2017
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No