

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.2000 of 2017

Date of Decision: 27.07.2017

Parkashwati

....Petitioner

Versus

Shri Jitender Bhardwaj, Registrar of
Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 10.02.2016 as well as order dated 23.11.2016 passed in the application moved subsequently.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was given additional charge of the post of Lecturer Computer Science and he was held entitled for pay scale of that post. The claim of the petitioner was covered by judgment of this Court in case ***Ramesh Kumar Rohilla vs State of Haryana and others (CWP No.16265 of 2012, decided on 26.05.2015)***. Subsequently, the work of higher post has been withdrawn.

On perusal of order passed in the main petition as well as in the application, nowhere it was mentioned that the petitioner would be allowed to work on the higher post in future also. It cannot be said to be the subject matter of contempt. The petitioner has been held entitled for the pay scale of

the higher post for the period when he was working on that post and the same has not been granted to him.

Accordingly, the respondents are directed to pay the salary to the petitioner for the period he has worked on the higher post. The necessary exercise be done within a period of one month from today.

However, in case, the salary of the period, for which the petitioner has worked, has not been granted to him then he is at liberty to move an application for revival of contempt petition. As far as withdrawal of the duty of higher post is concerned, no directions can be issued.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

27.07.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No