

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

103

COCP-1903-2017 (O&M).
Decided on: July 20, 2017.

Rashid Mohammad and another

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

Parveen Kumar Sinha and another

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

**PRESENT Mr.Gagan Oberoi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, claiming to have married, apprehending threat to their life and liberty, had approached this Court by filing CRM-M-8136-2017 which was disposed of on 10.3.2017, requiring the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners within the framework of law besides observing that in case any instance of threat is brought to the notice of the police by the petitioners, they would be required to act expeditiously in accordance with law.

Through the present contempt petition, the petitioners have claimed that no action has been taken on their representation and that order dated 10.3.2017 has been violated. Another plea has been taken that despite order dated 10.3.2017, the respondents in the original petition are “still continuing to do the same”.

A perusal of the petition indicates that no specific

specific person on any specific day, date or time is mentioned, as such it will not be appropriate for this Court on the basis of vague allegations to initiate contempt proceedings against any of the respondents. In case the petitioners have got any apprehension or threat to their life and liberty, it would always be open to the petitioners to approach the respondents for protection of their life and liberty by specifically mentioning the reasons for apprehension.

This petition is disposed of being vague and uncertain with liberty to the petitioners to avail any legal remedy in case there is any specific instance of infringement of the right of life and liberty of the petitioners.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 20, 2017.
rka

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No