

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.08.24 12:32
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCN No.1917 of 2016

Date of Decision: 22.08.2017

Shri Krishan J.E. (Retd.)

....Petitioner

Versus

Manoj Kumar Vats

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Chanakya Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of directions issued by this Court, the representation of the petitioner has not rightly been considered as some of the benefits have not been released to him in spite of admitting the fact that he is senior to one Ramesh Kumar.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

However, the respondent is directed to pass a speaking order as to how the claim of the petitioner made in the representation has not been complied with.

In case, the opportunity of hearing to the petitioner is required, the same be given to him.

The necessary compliance of order be made by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from today.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

22.08.2017

gupreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No