

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.O.C.P. No.1898 of 2016

Date of Decision: 11.01.2017

M/s Ram Lal Garg and Co. Engineers and Govt. Contractors

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shri Mukesh Anand, Chief Engineer,
U.T. Engineering Department, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for the respondents.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

On the previous date of hearing i.e. 05.08.2016, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed:-

“ It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had filed CWP No.7467 of 2016 titled as M/s Ram Lal Garg and Co. Versus The Union Territory, Chandigarh and others, which was decided by this Court along with the bunch of other writ petitions on 18.05.2016 with the leading case being CWP No.19366 of 2015 titled as Munish Kumar Bansal Versus State of Punjab and others, directing the respondents to release the payment due to the petitioner within a period of two months, if already not released. He further contends that the amount due to the petitioner is ₹ 61,50,000/-, which has not been paid to the petitioner by the respondents till date rendering themselves liable for initiating the contempt proceedings against them for non-compliance of the order passed by this Court under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Let notice be issued to the respondents for 11.01.2017 to show cause as to why proceedings for contempt be not initiated against them for non-compliance of the order passed by this Court referred to above.

Dasti as well.

To be heard along with COCP No.1860 of 2016.

Reply/compliance report to the contempt petition be filed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of notice with an advance copy to the counsel for the petitioner.

In case the reply/compliance report is not filed within the time stipulated, the same shall be received by the Registry on payment of ₹ 10,000/- as costs to be deposited in the account of Lawyers' Welfare Fund, High Court. ”

At the time of hearing, it is conceded that the due payments, in terms of the order dated 18.05.2016 passed by this Court in CWP No.7467 of 2016, have since been released to the petitioner and the present contempt petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

January 11, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No