

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.189 of 2016

Date of decision: 10.07.2017

Partap Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Om Parkash

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Madhu Ranjan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Affidavit of Vivek Kalia, Estate Officer-II, HUDA, Gurugram on behalf of respondent in pursuance of order passed by this Court on 26.05.2017 has been filed in the Court today, wherein, it has been mentioned that orders dated 19.01.2017 and 27.02.2017 have been withdrawn and the petitioner has been held entitled for the benefit of earlier policy dated 10.09.1987. It has further been mentioned therein that the claim of the petitioner will be decided under the latest oustees policy dated 11.08.2016, which has been framed in compliance of judgment dated 25.04.2012 passed in LPA No.2096 of 2011 titled as HUDA vs Sandeep and others.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that necessary order will be passed within a period of four to six weeks.

In view of the affidavit filed by the respondent, the present

petition is disposed of and the respondent is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to move an application for revival of contempt petition.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

10.07.2017
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No