

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.1879 of 2016(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:10.10.2017

Ram Narain Dalal

...Petitioner

Vs.

Sunita Verma & another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. M. S. Kathuria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S. K. Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in pursuance of directions issued by this Court on 07.05.2015, the claim of the petitioner has been considered and a speaking order has been passed on 14.10.2016. As per directions issued vide order dated 07.05.2015, the petitioner was to make representation within a period of two months from the date of passing of the order and thereafter the same was to be considered within a period of four months thereafter.

Admittedly, representation was moved by the petitioner within a period of two months but respondents have not decided the claim of the petitioner within a period of four months. The order has been passed on 14.10.2016 i.e. after a period of approximately one year. No such satisfactory explanation has been given in the affidavit. However, since the order has been passed, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the same. A sum of ₹20,000/- is imposed as cost upon the respondent to be paid to the petitioner by way of draft for causing the delay in passing the order. The

amount shall be recovered from the pocket of the Officer/Official who was an instrument in causing the delay.

Disposed of.

10.10.2017
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable:	Yes/No