

COC-1873-2016

Date of Decision : 20.07.2017

Dawarka Dass

.....Petitioner

versus

Anurag Verma and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, Asstt.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Jugait, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner was held entitled to the benefit of previous service rendered by him in the Govindwal Industrial and Investment Corporation towards pension and other retiral benefits as per judgment dated 27.01.2016 passed in CWP-4895-2014. As per the directions of this Court, the amount is stated to have been released.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the benefits have been granted after the expiry of the period as prescribed by the Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that the objective of civil contempt proceedings is to implement the orders of the Court in true letter and spirit. The delay in

release of the benefits to the petitioner does not appear to be intentional or willful disobedience of the order.

Taking a lenient view, the contempt proceedings initiated against the respondents are dropped. The petition is disposed of.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

20.07.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No