

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No.1867 of 2016
Date of Decision: 27.02.2017

Tilak Raj and another
..... Petitioners
Versus

Vikas Gupta, IAS, Chief Administrator,
Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder K. Mahajan, Advocate for the respondent/contemner.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The duo of husband-wife/petitioners had filed CWP No.10323 of 2014, seeking a declaration that their acquired land measuring 9 Kanals 18 Marlas, pursuant to the award dated 02.05.1984 would be deemed to have lapsed in view of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short “2013 Act”) as the physical possession of the land in question was still with the petitioners and no compensation had been paid. The said petition was disposed of by a Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.05.2015 (**Annexure P-1**), directing the competent authority to decide their representation for release of the land in view of the pleas.

Upon notice, a reply by way of affidavit dated 22.02.2017 of Sh. Mahabir Parsad, HCS, Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad has been filed, which is taken on record. In the reply, it is submitted that the recommendations of the constituted Zonal Committee have been approved by the competent authority i.e. Govt. of Haryana, wherein the representation of the petitioner(s) has been considered and the claim for release of the land has been declined vide speaking order dated 04.11.2016 (**Annexure R-1**).

Learned Counsel for the respondent submits that the directions passed by this Court have since been complied with.

In view of the above, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 27, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No