

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.08.04 13:30
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCP No.1810 of 2017

Date of Decision: 02.08.2017

M/s Sigma Agro

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Himmat Singh, IAS and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, D.A.G., Haryana

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the order passed in favour of the petitioner has been complied with, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the interest amount has not been paid to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is not entitled for any interest and that has been mentioned in the speaking order also.

Since the compliance of order is there, the present petition is disposed of.

However, in case, the petitioner is aggrieved by that order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

02.08.2017
gupreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No