

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.1830 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.05.2017**

M/s Mittal Construction Company

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Suresh Kumar, IAS, Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,
Department of Water Supply & Sanitation and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner/Govt. Contractor had filed CWP No.14108 of 2014 seeking directions to the respondents to release the balance payment of Rs.26,19,619/- qua the works, which were allotted to the petitioner. The said petition was disposed of vide order dated 22.07.2014 (**Annexure P-1**) with a direction to The Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Faridkot (respondent No. 4 therein) to look into the representation of the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. It is also ordered that in case, respondent No.4 comes to a conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to the amount mentioned in the petition/representation, the same be released forthwith.

At the time of hearing, reply by way of an affidavit dated 08.05.2017 of Er. Kuldeep Singh Bhatti, Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Faridkot has been filed in Court, which is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to learned opposite Counsel.

In the reply, it is mentioned that the due payments i.e. Rs.30,48,648/- have been paid to the petitioner, vide detailed speaking order dated 29.01.2016 (**Annexure R-1**).

Learned State Counsel for the respondents submits that the aforesaid directions have already been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned opposite Counsel.

In view of the above, this Court finds that there is substantial compliance of the order and qua remaining grievance, if any, the petitioner would have liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

May 11, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>