

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Date of Decision:-28.02.2017

COCP No.181 of 2014(O&M)

Lokinder Singh & Ors.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Surina Rajan, IAS & Anr.

.....Respondents.

AND

COCP No.3464 of 2014

Surender Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Mohan Lal Kaushik, IAS & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. D.S. Rawat, Advocate for the Petitioners
(in both petitions).

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate for respondent no.4 in both cases.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

This common order shall dispose of both the aforesaid
contempt petitions as they emerge out of the alleged violation of the
common order dated 22.04.2014 passed in LPA No.2017 of 2013 Annexure

R-4/11 at page 65 of the paper book.

11 Petitioners herein are working as teachers with the privately managed School, namely, ASD High School Full Bazar, Narnaul. Their writ petitions claiming revised salary on the recommendations of the 6th pay commission w.e.f. 1.1.2006 culminated into a consensus order on 22.04.2014 passed in LPA No.2017 of 2013 which reads as under:-

“ At the time of the arguments, consensus has been arrived at between the parties. It is stated that amount awarded in favour of the private respondents shall be paid in four installments. The first installment i.e. 1/4th shall be paid within four months from today. The remaining amount i.e. 75% shall be paid in three equated installments each installment being payable after three months. It is further agreed that in case the appellant failed to make payment as per schedule given above, the private respondents shall be entitled to get interest at the rate of 8% per annum simple. It is further agreed that those private respondents, who had already settled their dispute with the management shall not claim anything more. ”

The petitioners alleged that the payments were not made within the stipulated time, hence the present contempt petitions.

Upon notice, the private management- respondent no.4 has filed the reply stating that the outstanding amounts of interest on account of delayed payments of arrears of revised pay to be paid by way of installment as per the schedule determined in the order dated 22.04.2014 has been calculated and the admissible amounts paid by way of cross cheques in

favour of the petitioners.

On the previous date, counsel for the petitioners had prayed for time to verify the factum of payment.

At the time of hearing, learned Counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the payments of the aforesaid amounts, however, has contested the method of calculation.

Upon examination of the calculation, this Court finds that no willful disobedience warranting continuation of the present contempt proceedings.

In view of the above, both the aforementioned contempt petitions are hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 28, 2017
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>