

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

COCP No.171 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision:-06.03.2017

Raj Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Kulvir Singh Dhaka.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate for the Petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner claims himself to be co-sharer to the extent of 1/6th in the agricultural land measuring 21 Kanals 11 Marlas which stands acquired by the State Government after the Notification dated 20.03.2008 under Section 6 as also award under the Land Acquisition Act. The claim of the petitioner is that no compensation is that no compensation was awarded for the constructed portion and installed tubewell in the land of the petitioner.

It is contended that this Court vide order dated 13.07.2015 had directed the authorities to pass a speaking order on his representation for the said claim and no order has been passed.

After hearing learned Counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the present contempt petition is totally misconceived. The dispute raised is a matter of reference under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act,

which concededly the land owners including the petitioner have filed.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

March 06, 2017
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>