

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.03.29 10:13
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCN No.170 of 2017

Date of Decision: 27.03.2017

Mahesh Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Sh. P.K. Das and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate
for Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, D.A.G., Haryana

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Vide Order dated 06.03.2017 passed by this Court, it was clearly mentioned that all the claims of the petitioners have been considered and necessary relief has been granted to them. On the last date of hearing, the copy of the order was also handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners. The date was sought only on the ground to go through the said order.

Since, the necessary compliance has been made, nothing survives in the petition. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, non-compliance of order is still there, the petitioners are at liberty to move application for revival of contempt petition or in case, the order has not been passed in accordance with directions issued in the writ petition and petitioners are aggrieved by that order, they are at liberty to challenge the same.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

27.03.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No