

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1706 of 2016(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:08.09.2017

Chalti Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

Sidharth Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that it was the petitioner only who deposited the amount with delay and not only the possession has been handed over to him but conveyance deed has also been executed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent has accepted more amount and ₹27,000/- was deposited under protest whereas an amount of ₹2, 61, 775/- was to be deposited. The petitioner is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in case more amount has been accepted than the required amount.

Since the compliance of the order is there, the petition stands disposed of.

08.09.2017
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No