

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

COCp-1646-2017

Decided on: July 03, 2017.

Om Parkash Retired Dy. S.P.

.... Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Passi, D.E.T.C. (Excise) and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Sailender Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that on account of non-shifting of the wine shop situated on the National Highway in Panipat, a contempt has been committed by respondents No. 1 and 2.

Before forming an opinion whether the act of the respondents would tantamount to willful disobeying of the order of the Court, I deem it appropriate that respondent No.1 should consider the legal notice issued by the petitioner, Annexure P-4, copy of which has been placed on record.

Notice to Advocate General, Haryana.

Since there is no representative of State of Haryana present in the Court, let copy of the petition be served in the office of Advocate General, Haryana, today.

A direction is issued that the notice Annexure P-4 will be decided by respondent No.1 within a period of one month after the receipt of certified copy of the order and copy of the petition.

It will be the duty of the petitioner to communicate the order and copy of the petition to the Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Panipat.

Disposed of at this stage with above said direction without prejudice to the right of the petitioner, in case, the circumstances so warrant at any subsequent stage.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 03, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No