

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC-1677-2016

Date of Decision: May 26, 2017

Ritu Aggarwal & others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sukhraj Bhatti

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harinder Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the respondent has placed on record a photocopy of the order dated 30.03.2017 passed by the Writ Court in CWP No. 8016 of 2016, titled as 'Ritu Aggarwal & others Vs. State of Punjab & others', vide which, the main writ petition was disposed of in same terms as has been laid down in CWP No.3613 of 2011, titled as "Sarjinder Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab & others".

In view of the above, it appears that the petitioners are satisfied with the order passed by the Writ Court, which renders the present contempt petition infructuous. This also explains the non-presence of the counsel for the petitioner on the last date of hearing as well as today.

Disposed of as infructuous, with liberty to the petitioners to revive the the contempt petition, in case any claim of the petitioners survives.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

May 26, 2017

Harish

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**