

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No. 1675 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION:11.12.2017

Salwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Abhinav Trikha and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Proxy counsel for
Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. IS Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for respondent-State submits that order passed by this Court has been complied with, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that some of the benefits have not been released as yet.

Since the order passed by this Court has been complied with, nothing survives in the present contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

In case there is difference in calculation of the amount or the benefits, which have not been released, the petitioner is at liberty to move representation to the respondent-authorities within a period of one month from today. In case such representation is made, the respondents are directed to consider the same and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

December 11, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No