

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

COCN No.1620 of 2017

Date of decision: June 02, 2017

Jasmeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Tarun Bagga

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner alleges violation of orders, Annexures P-1 & P-2, passed by this court. According to him, respondent has not paid amount of Rs.3.00 lacs in terms of the order. He intends to leave the country without paying the amount. It is stated before the court that it is clear from a perusal of order dated 20.5.2017 that respondent has failed to deposit the amount even thereafter. Thus, contempt proceedings need to be initiated against him.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears that a case under sections 498-A and 406 IPC was registered at Police Station Women, Ludhiana, against the respondent. He filed CRM-M-10093 seeking pre-arrest bail. While disposing of the petition, it was directed that the respondent would deposit a sum of Rs.3.00 lacs in the shape of FDR in the name of the child namely, Sartaj Singh. As respondent failed to deposit the amount, an application was moved on

behalf of respondent for extension of time. A coordinate bench (Ritu Bahri, J.), vide order dated 28.11.2016 modified the earlier order and granted another opportunity to respondent to deposit the amount of Rs.3.00 lacs. According to counsel, amount has not been deposited by the respondent even thereafter.

In my considered view, no case for taking cognizance under the Contempt of Courts Act is made out. In case petitioner has deposited the amount, as directed by the court while disposing of application under section 438 Cr.P.C., necessary consequences would follow. The contempt petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

June 02, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No