

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.1638 of 2015

Date of Decision: 16.02.2017

Nar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

T.C. Gupta and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, D.A.G., Haryana

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

In compliance of order passed by this Court on 30.11.2016, an additional affidavit has been filed by learned State counsel in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of additional affidavit, submits that as per Order dated 30.11.2016, the petitioner was to be paid dues from 31.10.2014 to 09.07.2015 and the same has been paid.

The compliance of order dated 30.11.2016 is mentioned in para No.3 of the additional affidavit, which is reproduced as under :-

“ 3. That in compliance of order dated 30.11.2016 passed by this Hon'ble High Court, the salary arrears for the period 31.10.2014 to 09.07.2015, except subsistence allowance which has already been paid to the petitioner, amounting to ₹ 1,45,566/- has been paid to petitioner vide Treasury

Pay Order No.18000113177 dated 13.02.2017 and nothing is to left to paid to petitioner and the compliance of order of Hon'ble High Court has been made in true spirit. Copy of order dated 13.02.2017 is attached as Annexure R-1.”

Along with additional affidavit, the copy of acknowledgment has also been annexed as Annexure R-1.

Since the compliance has been made, no further action is required to be taken.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved and the amount as mentioned in para No.2 of the additional affidavit has not been paid to him, he is at liberty to move an application for revival of contempt petition.

16.02.2017
gupreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

Yes