

C.O.C.P. No. 1508 of 2015 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No. 1508 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION:10.08.2017

M/s The Angel Coop. Group Housing
Society Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

Brijendra Singh and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

C.M. No. 6323-CII of 2017

Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents submits that the present application has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

C.O.C.P. No. 1508 of 2015

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of directions issued by this Court, the claim of the petitioner-society has been considered and a detailed speaking order has been passed after giving adequate opportunity of hearing to the petitioner-Society.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner-society submits that neither the averments made by the petitioner-Society in the

representation have been considered nor other material, which was to be supplied by the respondents has been supplied.

Heard the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, not only an opportunity of hearing was to be given to the petitioner-Society after supplying the material but a detailed reasoned order was also to be passed. Since the petitioner was not supplied material, one more opportunity is granted to the petitioner-society to make a detailed representation mentioning their grievance as made earlier within a period of two weeks from today. In case such representation is given to the respondent-competent authority, the respondents are directed to consider the same and pass fresh order after meeting out all the averments made in the representation within a period of two months. In case, personal hearing of the petitioner-Society is required by the respondents, the same be given.

Disposed of accordingly.

In case the submissions made by the petitioner-Society are accepted, the necessary relief be granted to it and in case the petitioner-Society is still aggrieved in any manner, it is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

August 10, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No