

COCP No. 1389 of 2016 (O&M)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1389 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION:30.01.2017

Tara Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Harmohan Singh (PPS)

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Vide order dated 23.12.2015, Crl. Misc. No. M-43768 of 2015 filed by the petitioner was disposed of with a direction to SSP, Jalandhar to look into the matter and consider the grievance of the petitioner raised in representation dated 5.10.2015 and decide the same by passing an appropriate order thereon within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

When no action was taken on the representation of the petitioner, the present petition was filed, wherein, notice of motion was issued.

In response to notice of motion, reply has been filed, which is on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the claim of the petitioner was already considered on 7.9.2016 and the same was conveyed to him also but this fact was not mentioned in the main petition. Learned counsel further submits that subsequently a representation was made, which was also decided by passing a speaking order, which has also been conveyed to the petitioner.

Since the order has already been complied with and second time also the representation of the petitioner has been considered and order has been communicated to him, nothing survives in the petition and the same is disposed of accordingly. However, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

January 30, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes