

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.1357 of 2016
Date of Decision: 20.02.2017**

Sanjay Nagar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Aditya Dahiya, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Faridabad and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S.Sihota, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. B.R.Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parvindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondents/contemners.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner for regularization in terms of the Policy dated 01.10.2003, as amended vide letter dated 10.02.2004, was directed to be considered by passing a speaking order, vide order dated 03.01.2014 passed by this Court in CWP No.9899 of 1996.

The claim of the petitioner, in compliance of the directions passed by this Court, was considered and declined by passing a speaking order dated 25.11.2014 (**Annexure P-5**). It was noticed in the order that the regularization of the petitioner's services under the said policy could not be granted as he was not engaged against a sanctioned vacant post, which is one of the essential qualifications of the Policy.

Upon notice, a detailed reply has been filed, explaining the reasons in support of the speaking order (**P-5**). That apart, it is submitted that the petitioner has accepted a fresh regular appointment w.e.f. 01.07.2014 and further forsaken his previous claim towards salary or benefit of previous engagement.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 20, 2017

Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>