

COCP No. 1350 of 2016

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1350 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION:25.04.2017

Som Dev Arya

.....Petitioner

Versus

Balbir Singh Dhol

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Ms. Neeru Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. SS Chandumajra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 5.12.2013 in C.W.P. No. 26711 of 2013, the claim of the petitioner was considered and accepted by the District Education Officer (S.E.), Ludhiana. He further contends that the pay of the petitioner was ordered to be fixed notionally and a proposal was submitted to revise the pension of the petitioner to the competent authority. Certain objections were raised and after removal of the same, the case was resubmitted. He also contends that now sanction to revise the pension has also been issued by the Accountant General (A&E), Punjab vide order dated 13.2.2017.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that compliance of

the order has been made but the delay has occurred in implementation of the order, which is neither intentional nor deliberate but because of the reason that original record was not available.

Since order passed by this court has been complied with and copy of the order has been annexed as Annexure R-1 with the reply, nothing survives in the contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

April 25, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes