

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCF No.1337 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2017

Tripta Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Surinder Pal Saini

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, D.A.G., Punjab

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that necessary relief has been granted to the petitioner and this fact has been affirmed by learned counsel for the petitioner but submits that the interest on the delayed payment has not been paid to the petitioner.

Since the necessary relief has been granted and hence, nothing survives in the contempt petition.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, with regard to claim of interest on the delayed payment, the petitioner is at liberty to move representation to the authorities concerned within a period of one month from today and in case, any such representation is moved, the respondent authority is directed to consider the same in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

20.03.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No