

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

226

COCp No. 123 of 2017

Date of Decision: October 26, 2017

VIDYA SAGAR AND ORS.

...Petitioner

Versus

P.K. DAS AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that order dated 24.02.2016 passed in CWP No. 3662 of 2016 has been complied with whereas learned counsel for the petitioners submits that consequential benefits have not been granted to them. The only direction in the writ petition was to consider and decide the legal notice which has been considered. In case the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner they are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

October 26, 2017

jyoti-3

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*