

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**COCp-1275-2016****Date of decision: 10.07.2017****Sikander Singh****...Petitioner****V/s.****Satpal and another****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.**

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Order dated 19.12.2015 passed in CWP No. 26499 of 2015 was to be complied with by passing a speaking order on the legal notice dated 15.12.2014 within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 submits that speaking order has been passed on 12.08.2016 and the order has been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is delay in complying with the order.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1, however, made efforts to justify the delay. The respondent could have moved an application for extension of time to decide the claim of the petitioner.

Since the order has been passed but delay is there, a cost of ₹10,000/- is imposed on respondent No. 1 for non-compliance of order within the stipulated period to be paid to the petitioner by way of draft within a period of one month and the same be recovered from the officer/official who was instrument in causing the delay.

The petition is disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy
in accordance with law.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

July 10, 2017
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No