

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No.1262 of 2016
Date of decision: 11.08.2017**

Harpreet Kaur and others

..Petitioners

Versus

Sukhjeet Singh Bains and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Chatrath Kapur, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Manish Joshi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents submit that connected matter
i.e. COCP No. 1418 of 2015 was disposed of by this Court on 17.4.2017
and the present contempt petition can also be disposed of in the same terms.

Order passed in COCP No.1418 of 2015 is reproduced as
under:-

“Learned State counsel submits that order passed by
this Court on 19.3.2013 in CWP No. 5906 of 2013
could not be complied with as the amount which was
required to be deposited by the petitioners have not
been deposited by them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
amount which was to be deposited has to be specified
by the respondents.

The respondents are directed to intimate the petitioners as to how much amount has to be deposited by them. The necessary exercise be done within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter the petitioners are directed to deposit the said amount within a period of two weeks thereafter.

In case, said exercise is done within the stipulated time, then respondents are directed to comply with the order within a period of one month thereafter.

Contempt petition stands disposed of.

In case the order passed by this Court in the writ petition as well as the directions issued today in the contempt petition are not complied with, the petitioners are at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.”

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of in terms of order passed in COCP No. 1418 of 2015.

11.08.2017
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable No