

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCF No. 119 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.05.2017**

Rai Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shri M.L. Kaushik, IAS, Director, School Education,
Haryana, Panchkula and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The writ petition bearing *CWP No. 621 of 2015* filed by the petitioner seeking, *inter alia*, directions to the respondents to grant him pension and other retiral benefits including gratuity etc., was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 29.04.2016 (**Annexure P-1**) with a direction *to the respondents to look into the legal notice dated 24.11.2014 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case any amount is payable to the petitioner, the same shall be paid within one month of the decision of legal notice.*

Since the needful was not done within prescribed time, hence

the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, a reply has been filed wherein in para No. 4, it is stated that a speaking order dated 20.04.2017 (**Annexure R-1**) has been passed and an amount of Rs. 21,26,592/- (Twenty One Lac Twenty Six Thousand Five Hundred and Ninety Two only) has been paid to the petitioner as arrears of pension, gratuity, revision of pay scale, annual increments and dearness allowance and the petitioner has also submitted the receipts of the same in which he has clearly mentioned that no arrears are pending as on 20.04.2017. The said speaking order dated 20.04.2017 (**R-1**) has also been conveyed to the petitioner.

In view of above, learned counsel for the parties state that the order dated 29.04.2016 (**P-1**) stands complied with, therefore, no further action in the present contempt is warranted as the same has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, if the petitioner is still aggrieved, he is free to seek his remedy in accordance with law.

May 08, 2017

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No