

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.01.30 10:26
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

COCP No.1231 of 2016 (O&M)

in

CWP No.9566 of 1993

Date of Decision: 24.01.2017

Virender Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Ashok Sangwan, IAS and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satbir Singh Rathore, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that order dated 18.03.2011 passed by this Court has been complied with as not only the petitioner has been reinstated in service but the benefits of seniority, pay fixation and continuity in service have been granted to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been granted the back wages.

Since order dated 18.03.2011 passed by this Court is only for re-instatement and the petitioner has been granted the benefits of continuity in service as well as re-fixation of pay, nothing survives in the contempt petition.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

24.01.2017

gupreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No