

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.12.04 12:59
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COC P No.1226 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.11.2017

Joga Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Shri M.P. Singh and another

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate
for Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, A.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The case was adjourned on the last date of hearing on request of learned counsel for the petitioners to go through the calculation part prepared by respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the order has partly been complied with, whereas, certain benefits still remains to be released.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to move a detailed representation to the respondent authorities mentioning therein the benefits which have not been released. In case, such a representation is made to the respondents within a period of one month from today, the respondents are directed to consider the same and if the petitioners are found to be entitled for the relief sought for in the representation, the same be granted to them. In case, the respondent authorities comes to the conclusion that the petitioners are not entitled for the said relief then a detailed speaking order be passed. The petitioners are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy by challenging the same, in case, they are aggrieved in any manner.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

28.11.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No