

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.O.C.P. No. 1231 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 17.04.2017

Rajwinder Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

Suresh Kumar and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present contempt petition in furtherance of decision dated 05.11.2014 rendered in CWP No. 14621 of 2014 as a general direction was issued in the case that the disbursement of amount as per entitlement shall be made to those parties also who have not approached this Court.

In response to notice of motion in the contempt petition, reply has been filed by way of an affidavit wherein it has been mentioned that the petitioner has submitted certain bills, whereas, he has not completed the work and left it in between as also bogus bills have also been submitted.

It is the stand of the petitioner in the contempt petition that not only the delay is there in release of the payments but wrong averments have also been made, whereas, all bills have already been submitted to the concerned authority but no action has been taken so far. The respondents have committed contempt of the orders passed by this Court in the main writ petition.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has been

asked on various occasions to appear before the Competent Authority along with the bills so that the bills can be verified but he is not coming forward.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of the stand taken in the contempt petition as well as in the reply filed by way of an affidavit, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation by mentioning the work done by him along with the bills in addition to the bills which have already been submitted within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and respondent No.4 i.e. Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Fatehgarh Sahibs is directed to consider the representation and decide the same within a period of four weeks thereafter.

In case, the presence of the petitioner is required, the petitioner be given an intimation for appearance on a particular date. After the representation is being considered, a detailed speaking order be passed in case the respondent-Authority comes to the conclusion that the bills are bogus and have been submitted for the work which has not been completed by him. In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Contempt petition stands disposed of.

April 17, 2017
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes