

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.1163 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.10.2017

Sukhbir and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Naresh and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. C.S. Singh, Advocate
for Mr. G.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.1, 7,9 and 10.

Mr. S.S. Mann, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for respondents No.2, 3 and 5.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.21284-CII of 2017

This application has been moved for placing on record the compliance report on behalf of respondents No.2, 3 and 5.

C.M is allowed and the compliance report filed on behalf of respondents No.2, 3 and 5 is taken on record.

C.M. No.21389-CII of 2017

This application has been moved for placing on record the

reply filed by respondents No.1, 7, 9 and 10.

C.M is allowed and reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1, 7, 9 and 10 is taken on record.

COCP No.1163 of 2017

Reply on behalf of respondent No.8 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy, thereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that a direction was issued to respondent No.2 in the writ petition i.e Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak but he has not been impleaded as party respondent. The respondents impleaded in the contempt petition are not party to whom the directions have been issued.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the compliance of order has been made and the amount of cost has also been paid.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the contempt petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

06.10.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No