

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1) **COCP No.1202 of 2015**

Jatin and othersPetitioners

Versus

T. C. Gupta ...Respondent

(2) **COCP No.883 of 2016**

Association of CBSE Affiliate School, PalwalPetitioner

Versus

Keshni Anand Arora and others ...Respondents

(3) **COCP No.1005 of 2016**

Federation of Pvt. Schools Welfare Association, HaryanaPetitioner

Versus

Keshni Anand Arora and others ...Respondents

(4) **COCP No.1463 of 2016 (O&M)**

Kashish (minor) through his father and natural guardian Harikeshwar and othersPetitioners

Versus

P. K. Das and others ...Respondents

*COCP No.1202 of 2015,
COCP No.883 of 2016,
COCP No.1005 of 2016,
COCP No.1463 of 2016 (O&M) and
COCP No.2277 of 2016 (O&M)*

-2-

(5)

COCP No.2277 of 2016 (O&M)

**Rohit (minor) through his father and natural guardian Dharamveer
and others**

.....Petitioners

Versus

P. K. Das and others

...Respondents

Date of decision : 15.11.2017

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioners in COCP Nos.1202 of 2015
and 1463 of 2016.

Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate
for the petitioners in COCP Nos.883 & 1005 of 2016,
for respondent No.25 in COCP No.1463 of 2016 and
respondent No.8 in COCP No.2277 of 2016.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioners in COCP Nos.1463 and 2277 of 2016

Mr. Ashish Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.7 in
COCN No.2277 of 2016.

Mr. Amar Vivek, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

This order of mine shall dispose of all the aforementioned five
contempt petitions as the same have been filed for non-compliance of order
dated 01.04.2015 passed in CWP No.4925 of 2014 and other connected writ
petitions.

Learned State counsel submits that the compliance of the guidelines are being made strictly in view of the spirit of the order and a schedule is being prepared every year. No complaint has been received so far. In case any complaint is received, the same will be dealt with according to the direction issued by this Court.

No such complaint has been brought to the notice of this Court by learned counsel for the petitioners. In case of non-compliance of the order by the respondents, any aggrieved person is at liberty to move application for revival of the contempt petition.

One more issue has also been raised stating that no reimbursement has been done of the expenses by the private unaided school, but no specific example in this regard has been brought to the notice of the Court. In case any such grievance is there, the person aggrieved is at liberty to approach the respondent-State. In case any application is moved or any representation is pending, the respondent-authority is directed to take action in accordance with law within some reasonable time, after giving opportunity of hearing to the interested party and, thereafter, pass a detailed speaking order. In case the relief sought by the party is found to be genuine, the same be released within a period of two months.

The contempt petitions are disposed of accordingly.

15.11.2017
sunil yadav

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No