

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.05.16 12:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High court

COCP No.1177 of 2016

Date of Decision: 15.05.2017

Kuldip Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Dipinder Singh and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Addl. A.G., Punjab

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that cost amount has been paid and a speaking order has also been passed, which is annexed as Annexure R-1/T with the reply.

Since the compliance of order dated 07.01.2016 is there but the delay has occurred, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

The petitioner is at liberty to challenge the said order.

However, a sum of ₹ 10,000/- is imposed as cost upon the respondents, to be paid to the petitioners by way of draft for causing delay.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

15.05.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No