

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 1160 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 5, 2017

Puran Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

Daljit Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

**Present:- Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate
for the respondent.**

Daya Chaudhary, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in pursuance of order dated 9.1.2012, passed in CWP No. 465 of 2012 the necessary relief has been granted to the petitioner whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the benefits have not been granted as per averments made in the legal notice(Annexure P-2).

However, the petitioner is at liberty to make representation to the respondent-authority within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order by mentioning therein as to how he is entitled for the said benefits and respondent-authority is directed to consider the same in accordance with law. In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief claimed, the same be granted. In case the petitioner is not found to be entitled for the same, the respondent-authority

If the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

The Contempt Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

July 5, 2017
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No