

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.1097 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:25.09.2017

Nirmal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Nirmaljit Singh Kalsi & others

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 17.11.2016, the petitioner has been appointed but the benefits as granted to the similarly situated persons, have not been granted from the date of appointment whereas the petitioner is entitled for said benefits.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the case of the petitioner has been reconsidered in view of the direction issued by this Court. There is no direction to consider his claim from the date when similarly situated persons have been appointed.

As far as claim of the benefits from the date, when similarly situated persons have been appointed are concerned, petitioner is at liberty to move representation to respondent-authorities within a period of one month. In case any representation is moved, the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law by passing well

reasoned speaking order within a period of two months thereafter.

Disposed of.

25.09.2017	(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
rimpal	JUDGE
<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>