

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1) COCP No. 1142 of 2015

Gurjant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Suresh Kumar and others

...Respondents

And

(2) COCP No. 1143 of 2015

M/s Karamgarth B.K.O.

.....Petitioner

Versus

Suresh Kumar and others

...Respondents

Date of decision : 05.09.2017

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Monu Khan, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate for the petitioner
in COCP No.1142 of 2015.

Mr. R. K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner
in COCP No.1143 of 2015.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that connected
matter *i.e.* COCP bearing No.1231 of 2015 has been disposed of vide order
dated 17.04.2017 with the following directions : -

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as under:-

“After hearing learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of the stand taken in the contempt petition as well as in the reply filed by way of an affidavit, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation by mentioning the work done by him along with the bills in addition to the bills which have already been submitted within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and respondent No.4 i.e. Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Fatehgarh Sahibs is directed to consider the representation and decide the same within a period of four weeks thereafter.

In case, the presence of the petitioner is required, the petitioner be given an intimation for appearance on a particular date. After the representation is being considered, a detailed speaking order be passed in case the respondent-Authority comes to the conclusion that the bills are bogus and have been submitted for the work which has not been completed by him. In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Contempt petition stands disposed of.”

The issue involved in the present petitions is also the same and the contempt petitions have also been filed in furtherance of the same judgment. Accordingly, both the petitions are disposed of with the same directions to the respondents.

A photocopy of this order be placed on file of another connected case.

05.09.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No