

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.09.07 12:25
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCP No.1092 of 2016

Date of Decision: 31.08.2017

Kishan Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. M.L. Kaushik and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate
for the respondent.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, D.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 25.04.2017, the respondents were directed to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of two advance increments on account of obtaining his second Post Graduation Degree in History in July 1980 and also to explain the rationale of denying the benefit of two increments with effect from due date till the date of retirement.

An affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner has been rejected but no order to this effect has been passed.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass the order and convey the same to the petitioner.

However, in case, the petitioner is aggrieved by said order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy to challenge the order.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

31.08.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No