

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.1046 of 2016

Date of Decision: 19.04.2017

M/s Bajrang Engineering WorksPetitioner

Versus

Vijender Singh and othersRespondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy, thereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of order passed this Court on 04.09.2015, a speaking order has been passed on 31.03.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order dated 04.09.2015 was to be complied with within a period of 12 weeks from the date of passing of said order i.e 04.09.2015 and the order has been passed on 31.03.2017.

No doubt, only the direction was there in the writ petition to take decision on the representation filed by the petitioner within a period of

12 weeks from the date of passing of order i.e 04.09.2015 and the order has been passed on 31.03.2017 i.e after a long delay and no explanation, whatsoever, has been given.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the order dated 31.03.2017, in case he is having grievance in any manner.

Keeping in view the delay caused by the respondents in spite of directions issued by this Court on 04.09.2015 to decide the representation within a period of twelve weeks, an amount of ₹ 20,000/- is imposed as costs upon the respondents, which shall be paid to the petitioner by way of draft.

19.04.2017
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes