

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.08.08 16:49
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCN No.108 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.08.2017

Sukhdev Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Balwinder Singh Sidhu and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Sr. Advocate
with Ms. Gurjot Kaur, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, it appears that there is difference in calculation of amount. As per case of the petitioner, the amount of interest has not been paid and deduction has wrongly been made, whereas, learned counsel for the respondents submits that an undertaking was already given by husband of the petitioner to adjust the amount of loan against the dues and after deducting that amount, the calculation has been made and amount has been paid including interest.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to move representation as to how the calculation is not correct and what amount has not been paid including the amount of interest. Said representation be made within a period of two weeks and thereafter, the respondents are directed to consider the same and pass necessary order in accordance with law.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, she is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

03.08.2017

gupreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No