

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Arbitration Case No. 60 of 2017
Date of Decision: 14.07.2017

Vishal Bharti Shiksha SamitiPetitioner

versus

M/s Mexus Education Pvt. Ltd. and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present : Mr.Munish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Chandrakant B.Surangal, representative of respondent No.1.

S.J.VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL)

This is an application under section 11 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of sole arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties.

2. The parties had entered into a contract which admittedly contains an arbitration agreement. As per clause-6 the seat of arbitration shall be Vapi in the State of Gujarat. Under clause-7 all the matters covered under the agreement are subject to the jurisdiction of Courts at Vapi. The respondents have filed a suit in which an objection was taken by the petitioner on the ground that there is an arbitration agreement between the parties. The respondents appointed an arbitrator at Bombay. That, however, does not entitle me to ignore the arbitration clause.

3. The petition is, therefore, disposed of by appointing Shri V.K.Mali, former Additional District Judge, Gujarat, as the sole arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

14.07.2017
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No/
Whether reportable	Yes/No/